


**Exhibit N**

**11/30/18 Cronkhite Email**

regarding how the grading and drainage issues would be resolved. There has been no change in the position taken by the township or HRC.



*James E. Tamm*

*Attorney at Law*

*O'CONNOR, DeGRAZIA, TAMM & O'CONNOR, P.C.*

*40701 Woodward Avenue, Ste. 105*

*Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304*

*(248) 433-2005*

*(248) 433-2001 fax*

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

**From:** R.J. Cronkhite [<mailto:RCronkhite@maddinhauser.com>]

**Sent:** Friday, November 30, 2018 11:59 AM

**To:** Gleeson, Kevin J. <[kgleeson@swappc.com](mailto:kgleeson@swappc.com)>; James Tamm <[jetamm@odtlegal.com](mailto:jetamm@odtlegal.com)>

**Cc:** Bonita K. Crison <[BCrison@maddinhauser.com](mailto:BCrison@maddinhauser.com)>

**Subject:** RE: Pearson Road, Milford

Kevin,

Procedurally, the below needs to be put in writing and it needs to come from Jim Tamm with the Township's approval. We cannot be further whipsawed by HRC's and Milford's conflicting requirements.

Conceptually, the below proposal is not acceptable. We are not doing further calculations. Boss already did sufficient calculations showing that any water displacement from the impervious driveway will be retained by the retention area. If HRC has concerns about a 10-year event, HRC can perform the calculations it deems necessary to assess our previously-approved proposal. Milford can then persuade a jury that my clients are required to remediate pre-existing flood conditions—that have been on that property for decades if not centuries—to build a driveway. That's my way of saying HRC (Milford) is barking up the wrong tree by insinuating that it's my client's obligation to provide a retention area for flood conditions that have nothing to do with my client's construction. Milford can pay for its own public works.

The glaring solution is to allow us to implement our previously-approved proposal based on Boss's sensible calculations—which HRC adopted. If HRC wants a certain size culvert or a modest swale improvement on the property line, we will consider that, but we need construction specifics so we can pull the trigger on something.

No more surveys (now abandoned). No more calculations. No more preliminary steps before HRC/Milford adopts a definitive, approved, actionable plan.

R.J.

**From:** Gleeson, Kevin J. [<mailto:kgleeson@swappc.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 30, 2018 11:22 AM  
**To:** R.J. Cronkhite; James Tamm ([jetamm@odtlegal.com](mailto:jetamm@odtlegal.com))  
**Subject:** Pearson Road, Milford

Gentleman

Mike Darga asked Boss to provide information regarding drainage flow (they can use county GIS contours to show drainage which are readily available). He other items requested which should not require a great deal of effort are:

- (1) Swale improvement along the side of the property adjacent to the tree line;
- (2) Sizing of the culvert.

Mike tells me it does not require a great deal of labor nor should it be expensive to accomplished. Once this is received I'm sure this matter can be resolved promptly.

Kevin